

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE 2015/11TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 2940 of 2015

CRIME NO. 419/2015 OF PALODE POLICE STATION , THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

**RIJU SREEDHARAN, AGED 30 YEARS,
S/O.SREEDHARAN PILLAI, RIJU BHAVAN, DAIVAPPURA.P.O,
PERINGAMALA, THENNOOR VILLAGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.R.ANANDAKUTTAN
SMT.M.A.ZOHRA
SRI.A.RAHIM
SRI.MAHESH ANANDAKUTTAN**

RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT & STATE:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682031.**
- 2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PALODE POLICE STATION, PALODE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695584.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.V.S.SREEJITH

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01-06-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

B.A. No.2940 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of June, 2015

O R D E R

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. The petitioner apprehends that he will be arrested by the police on the accusation that he has committed the offence under Section 305 of Indian Penal Code.

3. He conducts an Ayurvedic medical shop. The boy who committed suicide had come there to buy some medicines. The petitioner told him that those medicines were not available at ayurvedic shops. Immediately, thereafter, the mobile phone of the petitioner was found missing. He lodged a complaint with the police and named the boy as the suspect. The police questioned the boy. He committed suicide. Prosecution alleges that the petitioner abetted his suicide.

4. Heard.

5. The police seized a suicide note which says that the owner of Nandana Medicals is responsible for his death. The petitioner is the owner of Nayana medicals. The

suicide note does not mention how the petitioner is responsible for the death of the boy. It is not proper for me to mention anything about the merits of the case at this stage. But the facts of the case compel me to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this application is allowed.

1. The petitioner shall be released on bail after interrogation on his executing a bond for Rs.Twenty five thousand only with two solvent sureties each for the like sum if the is arrested by the Police in connection with this case.

2. He shall appear before the Investigating Officer for interrogation if he is so required by him in writing.

4. He shall not destroy or tamper with evidence.

In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the learned Magistrate is empowered to cancel the bail in accordance with the law.

sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

R.AV

//True Copy//

PA to Judge