

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF JUNE 2015/11TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 2892 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 99/2015 OF CHITTAR POLICE STATION, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED :

1. SUDHARSANAN PILLAI @ OMANAKUTTAN PILLAI, AGED 54 YEARS
S/O.RAJAPPAN PILLAI, KAITHOLIL VEEDU, MEENKUZHY
VAYYATTUPUZZHA, CHITTAR.

2. RAJAN P.K., AGED 51 YEARS
S/O.DEVAN, PUTHUPARAMBIL HOUSE, MEENKUZHY
VAYYATTUPUZZHA, CHITTAR.

BY ADV. SRI.V.PHILIP MATHEW

RESPONDENT/STATE :

**STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. V.S. SREEJITH

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01-06-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

B.A. No.2892 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of June, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioners are alleged to have committed the offences under Sections 143, 147, 149, 452, 341, 323, 294 (b), 332 and Section 3(1) of Prevention of Damages to Public Property Act, 1984.

3. The prosecution case is that they trespassed into the outlet of Beverages Corporation uttered obscene words assaulted the staff and committed mischief causing a loss of Rs.5000/- to the Corporation and prevented the staff from discharging their duties.

4. Heard.

5. The offences alleged against the petitioners do not appear to be of serious nature. Custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary. So they will be granted their prayer for anticipatory bail.

In the result, this application is allowed.

1. Each of the petitioners will deposit Rs.1000/- each within 7 days in the trial court.

2. The petitioners shall be released on bail after interrogation on their executing a bond for Rs.Twenty five thousand only each with two solvent sureties each for the like sum if they are arrested by the Police in connection with this case.

3. They shall appear before the Investigating Officer for interrogation if they are so required by him in writing.

4. They shall not destroy or tamper with evidence.

In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the learned Magistrate is empowered to cancel the bail in accordance with the law.

sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

R.AV

//True Copy//

PA to Judge