

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

FRIDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/15TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Bail Appl..No.2159 of 2015

CRIME NO.252/CR/KLM/2009 OF CBCID,EOW-1,PATHANAMTHITTA.

APPLICANTS/IST AND 3RD ACCUSED:

1. **ABDUL AZEEZ,AGED 57 YEARS,
S/O.ABDUL AHMAN,MULAYARKOTTA HOUSE,
NEAR CANAL BUND,EUMATHALA,ALUVA,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**
2. **ABDUL RAHMAN,AGED 53 YEARS,
S/O.ALI,VARIKKADAN HOUSE,AAPRA P.O.
VENGOLA VILLAGE,ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.C.A.NAVAS
SRI.ANIL K.MOHAMMED
SRI.T.K.SASIKUMAR**

RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANTS:

1. **DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
CBCID,EOW-1,PATHANAMTHITTA-698011.**
2. **STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA,ERNAKULAM-682031.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.REMA.R.

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

Bail Appl. No.2159 of 2015

Dated this the 5th day of June 2015

O R D E R

Petition filed under Sec.438 Cr.P.C.

2. It is submitted that the 2nd petitioner is no more. The petitioner along with the co-accused is alleged to have committed the offences under Sec.420, 468 and 471 read with Sec.34 of Indian Penal Code. The prosecution case is that on the strength of forged documents they obtained sales tax registration in the name of the petitioner and caused a huge loss to revenue.

3. Heard both sides.

4. Learned counsel submits that the incident took place in 1997. That is not relevant. Commission of the offence came to light only in 2004.

5. The nature of the case demands custodial interrogation of the petitioner. This is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail.

In the result, the bail application is dismissed.

Sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE