

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/14TH MAGHA, 1936`

Bail Appl..No. 618 of 2015 ()

**-----
CRIME NO. 504/2014 OF KULATHOOR POLICE STATION, MALAPPURAM DIST.**

.....

**PETITIONER/ACCUSED IN CRIME NO. 504 OF 2014 OF
KULATHOOR POLICE STATION:**

**-----
NAJMUDHEEN SHAFEEK, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O.IBRAHIM, THAZHATHETHIL HOUSE,
PERINTHALMANNA TALUK,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.NIRMAL V.NAIR,
SRI.ANEESH JOSEPH,
SRI.RILGIN V.GEORGE,
SRI.LIJO VARGHESE.**

RESPONDENTS:

**-----
STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, KOCHI - 682 031.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.LALIZA. T.Y.

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

B.A.No.618 of 2015

Dated this the 3rd day of February 2015

ORDER

Application filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. According to the petitioner, he is apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.504 of 2014 of Kulathur Police Station, Malappuram district registered for the offences punishable under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 20 and 23 of the Kerala Protection of River Banks and Regulation of Removal of Sand Act, 2001.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 30.12.2014 at 3.00 hours, he was found transporting sand, by pick up lorry bearing registration number KL-01 E 1179/407 and that the said sand was illegally collected without any authority. On

seeing the police, the petitioner ran away from the spot after leaving the lorry with the load of sand.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the CD.

5. The investigation of this case is practically over. No criminal antecedents have been reported against the petitioner. There is nothing to be recovered from the petitioner, as the lorry and the sand have been seized. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that this is a fit case wherein anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioner.

6. In the result, this bail application is allowed and the investigating officer or such other police officer, who is conducting the arrest of the petitioner, is directed to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest on his executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two solvent sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the officer

conducting arrest, and subject to the following terms and conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall report before the investigating officer in between 09.00 a.m. and 11.00 a.m. on all Tuesdays and Fridays commencing from 10.2.2015 for a period of three months or till the filing of the final report in this case, whichever is earlier.

(ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or influence witnesses.

(iii) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation as and when required by the investigating officer.

(iv) The petitioner shall not involve in any offence while on bail.

It is made clear that the violation of any of the conditions stipulated above will result in the cancellation of bail.

**SD/
B.KEMAL PASHA,
JUDGE**

dl

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE