

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/15TH MAGHA, 1936

Bail Appl..No. 522 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 2675/2014 OF KAYAMKULAM POLICE STATION, ALAPPUZHA

PETITIONER/4TH ACCUSED :

**SURESH @ MALLISURA, AGED 32 YEARS,
S/O. ANANDAN, THAYYIL THARAYIL VEEDU,
PUTHUPALLYTHEKKU MURI, PUTHUPALLY VILLAGE,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SMT.G.VIDYA

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT :

**STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682 031.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. SREEJITH V.S.

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 04-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
B.A. No.522 of 2015
.....

Dated this the 4th day of February, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is the 4th accused in Crime No.2675/2014 of the Kayamkulam Police Station, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120 (B), 392 and 397 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner and the other accused is that on 07.10.2014 at 7.45 p.m., they came in front of the shop of the defacto complainant by a motorbike and asked for cigarette to the defacto complainant. When she turned to take the cigarette, the 1st accused snatched away her gold chain weighing 5^{1/2} sovereigns from her neck. When the husband of the defacto complainant intervened to

prevent the same, he was attacked and a cut injury was inflicted on his right hand muscle with a sword. Petitioner has been in custody for the period from 14.11.2014 onwards.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the CD.

5. It has come out the petitioner is involved in four other criminal cases also. Final report has already been filed in the matter. It seems that the petitioner is a dare criminal involved in a series of serious criminal cases. Considering the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioner, and the serious criminal antecedents on his part, I am of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to be enlarged on bail.

In the result, this Bail Application is dismissed.

Sd/- B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE

ul/-

[True copy]

P.S. to Judge