

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

THURSDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/30TH MAGHA, 1936

Bail Appl..No. 467 of 2015

CRIME NO. 1647/2014 OF PERINTHALMANNA POLICE STATION , MALAPPURAM

PETITIONER(S) :

**UMMER FAROOQUE, AGED 28 YEARS,
S/O.HASSAN HAJI, PARANTHODAN HOUSE, PULAMANTHOLE P.O,
PERINTHALMANNA, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.MAHESH V.MENON

RESPONDENT(S)/STATE :

**STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
PERINTHALMANNA POLICE STATION,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM - 682 031.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.T.Y.LALIZA

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

=====

B.A. No. 467 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 19th day of February, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.1647 of 2014 of the Perinthalmanna Police Station registered for the offences punishable under Section 379 IPC and Section 20 read with Section 23 of the Kerala Protection of River Banks and Regulation of Removal of Sand Act, 2001.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 15.12.2014 at 04.55 a.m., he was found transporting sand illegally collected from the river without any licence or authorization by an autorikshaw bearing Reg.No.KL-10J-4065. On seeing the Police party, the petitioner ran away from the spot after leaving the autorikshaw and the sand.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the CD.

5. No criminal antecedents have been reported against

the petitioner. It seems that the sand illegally collected and the vehicle, have been seized in the case. Investigation of the case is practically over. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the matter as there is nothing more to be recovered. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the absence of any criminal antecedents on his part, I am of the view that this is a fit case wherein anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioner.

In the result, this bail application is allowed and the investigating officer or such other police officer, who is conducting the arrest of the petitioner, is directed to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest on his executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two solvent sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the officer conducting arrest, and subject to the following terms and conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall report before the investigating officer in between 09.00 a.m. and 11.00

a.m. on all Thursdays and Mondays commencing from 26.02.2015 for a period of three months or till the filing of the final report in this case, whichever is earlier.

(ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or influence witnesses.

(iii) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation as and when required by the investigating officer.

(iv) The petitioner shall not involve in any offence while on bail.

It is made clear that the violation of any of the conditions stipulated above will result in the cancellation of bail.

Sd/-
B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE

stu

//True copy//

P.A to Judge