

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MAY 2015/4TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 278 of 2015 ()

**CRIME NO. 2526/2014 OF THIRUVALLA POLICE STATION,
PATHANAMTITTA DISTRICT**

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 TO 3:

- 1. LATHA, W/O.RAVIKUMAR AGED 44 YEARS,
PUTHENPARAMBIL HOUSE, VALLAMKULAM EAST, ERAVIPEROOR**
- 2. RAVIKUMAR, AGED 49 YEARS,
PUTHENPARAMBIL HOUSE, VALLAMKULAM EAST, ERAVIPEROOR**
- 3. VINEETH, S/O.RAVIKUMAR, AGED 18 YEARS,
PUTHENPARAMBIL HOUSE, VALLAMKULAM EAST, ERAVIPEROOR**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.P.PRADEEP
SRI.P.K.SATHEES KUMAR**

RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANTS :

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA.**
- 2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUVALLA 689 101.**

R1 & R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.V.S. SREEJITH

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

Bail Appl. No.278 of 2015

Dated this the 25th day of May 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioners are alleged to have committed the offences under Secs.294(b), 341, 323, 324 and 354 read with Sec.34 of Indian Penal Code. The allegation is that, they assaulted the victim and outraged her modesty.

3. Heard both sides.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties are neighbours and the incident happened in connection with a boundary dispute.

5. The victim did not sustain any serious injuries. The First Information Statement does not disclose the petitioners using any weapon.

6. Having regard to these facts, I am inclined to grant the prayer of the petitioners.

In the result, the bail application is allowed:

Bail Appl. No.278 of 2015 2

1. The petitioners shall be released on bail after interrogation on their executing bond for ₹.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) each with two solvent sureties each for the like sum if they are arrested by the Police in connection with this case.

2. They shall appear before the investigating officer for interrogation if they are so required by him in writing.

3. They shall not destroy or tamper with evidence.

4. They shall not harass the defacto complainant or her relatives.

In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the learned Magistrate is empowered to cancel the bail in accordance with law.

Sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

NS

/ True Copy /

P.A. To Judge