

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA**

**TUESDAY, THE 31ST DAY OF MARCH 2015/10TH CHAITHRA, 1937**

**Bail Appl..No. 217 of 2015 ()**

**CRIME NO. 44/2014 OF KUNNAMKULAM EXCISE RANGE OFFICE,  
TRISSUR DISTRICT**

**PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 AND 2 :**

- 1. PRABHAKARAN M.V., AGED 53 YEARS,  
S/O. VASU, MARAKATTIL HOUSE, MUNDATHIKKODU DESOM,  
MUNDATHIKKODU VILLAGE, THALAPILLY TALUK.**
- 2. JIBIN, AGED 26 YEARS,  
S/O. SUDHAKARAN, PUTHUPULLI HOUSE, CHENAM DESOM,  
AMMADAM VILLAGE, THRISSUR TALUK.**

**BY ADV. SRI.NIREESH MATHEW**

**RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT :**

**STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM**

**BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT. LALIZA T.Y.**

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 31-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**bp**

**B. KEMAL PASHA, J.**

.....  
B.A. No.217 of 2015  
.....

*Dated this the 31<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2015*

**ORDER**

~~~~~

Petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioners are accused Nos.1 and 2 in C.R. No.44/2014 of Kunnankulam Excise Range, Thrissur district, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 57(a) and 56(b) of the Abkari Act.

3. The petitioners are allegedly the employee and licensee of toddy shop No.23/2013-14 of Kunnankulam Excise Range. When the sample of toddy taken from the said shop was subjected to chemical analysis, it was found containing 8.76% by volume of Ethyl Alcohol as against the permissible quantity of 8.1% by volume fixed as per Rule 9 (2) of the Kerala Abkari Shops Disposal Rules, 2002.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor.

5. It has come out that any noxious substance was not traced out in the sample of toddy collected from the shop. There is a minor variation of the percentage of Ethyl Alcohol. It seems that the permissible quantity is 8.1% by volume, whereas the quantity traced out in the sample is 8.76% by volume. It seems that the constitutional validity of Rule 9(2) of the Kerala Abkari Shops Disposal Rules, 2002 is in question before the Apex court and the question has not been decided. By considering the variation as a minor variation and in the absence of any noxious substance in the sample, I am of the view that this is a fit case wherein anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioners.

6. In the result, this bail application is allowed and the investigating officer or such other police officer, who is conducting the arrest of the petitioners, is directed to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest on each of them executing a bond for ₹1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to

the satisfaction of the officer conducting arrest, and subject to the following terms and conditions:-

*(i) The petitioners shall report before the investigating officer in between 9 a.m. and 11 a.m. on all Tuesdays and Fridays commencing from 07.04.2015 for a period of three months or till the filing of the final report in this case, whichever is earlier.*

*(ii) The petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or influence witnesses.*

*(iii) The petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation as and when required by the investigating officer.*

*(iv) The petitioners shall not involve in any offence while on bail.*

It is made clear that the violation of any of the conditions stipulated above will result in the cancellation of bail.

Sd/-  
**(B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE)**

aks/31/03

*// True Copy //*

*PA to Judge*