

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

FRIDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF MAY 2015/1ST JYAISHTA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 206 of 2015

CRIME NO. 446/2014 OF PANNIANKARA POLICE STATION , KOZHIKODE

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED:

1. TONY BABU, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O IGNATIOUS BABU, BUMIKA HOUSE, VELLIMADUKUNNU,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.
2. VISAK.K, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O. VISWANATHAN, KOTTADATH HOUSE,
CHERUKALATHUR POST,
KOZHIKODU DISTRICT.
3. SRAVAN.K. SATHEESH, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O SATHEESH KUMAR, SRAVAN HOUSE, VETOM P.O
ALISSERY, KOZHIKODU DISTRICT.
4. ROSHITH K, AGED 19 YEARS,
S/O SIVARAMAN K., KOTTAKKAL HOUSE, OLAVANNA P.O,
KOZHIKODU.
5. BITHUL.E, AGED 19 YEARS
S/O SATHYAN.E, ELLEZHUTHIL, KIZHAKE MECHERY,
PANTHEERANKAVU P.O, KOZHIKODE.
6. AKSHAY P.M, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O PARAMESWARAN P., MAVATHUMPADI HOUSE, OLAVANNA P.O
KOZHIKODE.
7. ARJUN, AGED 19 YEARS
S/O SATEESHAN.K, NEDIYARAMBATH HOUSE, KOILANDY,
KOZHIKODE.
8. AMALJITH P.K, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O PADMAJA K, THARAMPOYIL HOUSE, THIRUVALLUR P.O
VADAKARA VIA KOZHIKODE.

PJ

....2/-

Bail Appl..No. 206 of 2015

**9. BINI E.R, AGED 19 YEARS,
S/O. RAMACHANDRAN, ERANJOLA HOUSE, KOORACHUNDU P.O
KOZHIKODE.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.S.ARUN KUMAR
SMT.RESMI THOMAS**

RESPONDENT(S)/COMPLAINANT:

**STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.V.S. SREEJITH

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22-05-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

B.A. No.206 of 2015

Dated this the 22nd day of May, 2015

O R D E R

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioners apprehend that they will be arrested by the Police in Crime No.446 of 2014 of Panniyankara Police station registered for the offences under Secs.143, 147, 148, 324, 326, 506(ii) and 308 read with Sec.34 of Indian Penal Code. They are college students. On the campus they allegedly attacked some other students with weapons like iron rods and sticks causing them serious injuries.

3. Heard both sides.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that taking into consideration the fact that the petitioners are students aged about 19 years they may be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Violence on the campus cannot be tolerated. The petitioners were not supposed to carry weapons in

the campus. Such tendency should be dealt with a heavy hand. Granting anticipatory bail to them will be putting premium on such practices. I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to them.

In the result, this bail application is dismissed.

Sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

/ True Copy /

NS

P.A. To Judge