

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/22ND POUSHA, 1936

Bail Appl..No.95 of 2015

CRIME NO.138/2014 OF EXCISE RANGE OFFICE,CHENGANNUR,ALAPPUZHA

PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

**SUGATHAN @ KURUKKAN SUGATHAN,
AGED 48 YEARS,S/O.KUNJUPILLAI,
POOTHUPARAMBIL VEEDU,
MULAKKUZHA VILLAGE,CHENGANNUR TALUK.**

BY ADV. SRI.ISSAC NINAN

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

**THE STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED THROUGH EXCISE INSPECTOR,
EXCISE RANGE OFFICE,CHENGANNUR,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA,ERNAKULAM.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.LALIZA T.Y

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 12-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW,J.

Bail application No. 95 of 2015

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2015

ORDER

Petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.138 of 2014 of Chengannur Excise Range Office registered for the offences under Sections 8(1) and 67(b) of the Kerala Abkari Act.

2. The allegation is that he transported 35 liters of arrack and has committed the above offences.

3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.11.2014 and he may be released on bail.

4. Heard both sides.

5. Having regard to the fact that he has been in custody since 11.11.2014, the petitioner will be released on bail.

In the result, the application is allowed.

1. The petitioner shall be released on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned.

2. Petitioner shall appear before the investigating officer between 10.30 a.m. and 11.30 a.m. on every Friday

for three months, or till the final report is filed, whichever is earlier.

3. He shall commit any offence under the Abkari Act or the NDPS Act while he is on bail.
3. He shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Excise Range Office, Chengannur except with the previous permission of the learned Magistrate concerned.
4. He shall not intimidate or attempt to influence the witnesses, nor shall he destroy or attempt to destroy the evidence or interfere with the investigation.
5. If the petitioner violates any of the above conditions, the court concerned is empowered to cancel the bail in accordance with the law.

Sd/-

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, JUDGE

//true copy//

P.A to Judge