

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/22ND POUSHA, 1936

Bail Appl..No. 36 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 1000/2010 OF KOTHAMANGALAM POLICE STATION, ERNAKULAM

PETITIONER/ACCUSED :

**SIJIL, AGED 38 YEARS
S/O.KUMARAN, PUTTINAKKUDY HOUSE, KALLATTUKARAYIL
KOTHAMANGALAM VILLAGE, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN
SRI.K.SATHEESH KUMAR**

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT :

**STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM, REPRESENTING SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KOTHAMANGALAM**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. SREEJITH V.S.

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12-01-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW,J.

Bail application No.36 of 2015

Dated this the 12th day of January, 2015

ORDER

Petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.1000 of 2010 of Kothamangalam Police Station registered for the offence under Sections 376, 506(1) and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. It is submitted that he has been in custody since 28.12.2014 and the investigation is almost over and he may be released on bail.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner had been absconding for almost 4 years and if he is released on bail, it may be difficult to secure his presence for the trial. At the same time, it is not in dispute that the investigation is almost over. I think that with the stringent conditions, the petitioner may be released on bail.

In the result, the application is allowed.

1. The petitioner shall be released on bail after interrogation on his executing a bond for Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty five thousand only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned.
2. The petitioner shall appear before the Investigating

Officer between 11 a.m. and 12 noon on all Wednesday for four months or till the final report is filed, whichever is earlier.

3. He shall not intimidate or attempt to influence the witnesses.
4. He shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Sessions Court except with the permission of that Court until the conclusion of the trial.
5. He shall not threaten or attempt to influence the witnesses, nor shall he destroy or attempt to destroy the evidence or interfere with the investigation.
6. If the petitioner violates any of the above conditions, the court of enquiry/trial is empowered to cancel the bail in accordance with the law.

Sd/-

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, JUDGE

//true copy//

P.A. To Judge

smv