

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 916 OF 2015**

*(Sant Dnyaneshwar Bahuddeshiya Sanstha Vs. State of Maharashtra & others)*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R. D. Khade, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Smt. B. P. Maldhure, A.G.P. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI**  
**AND S. B. SHUKRE, JJ.**  
**DATED : 06 APRIL, 2015**

Heard.

Submission is, the hostel was inspected without previous intimation by an officer against whom there was complaint of harassment and corruption.

Learned A.G.P. submits that the impugned order shows lacunae and the petitioner could not point out anything to the contrary.

The inspection made by the Authority, which is alleged to be biased stand, lacunae noted show absence of independent building for hostel, absence of facilities, absence of sufficient food stock, absence of any employee staying in the hostel and inability to produce record for verification. It is also recorded that only nine students were present out of

total twenty-four.

In this situation, it is obvious that the hostel needed to be inspected by paying surprise visit only. If the factual data recorded by the said officer is incorrect, the petitioner could have produced necessary material before the first Authority or then before the Appellate Authority. That has not been done. Necessary records or material is not annexed even with this petition.

As such, we find no case is made out. The writ petition is rejected. No costs.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**