

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLILCATION NO. 831/2014.

Krushna Laxmanrao Raut

-VERSUS-

The State of Maharashtra and another.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI
& P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.

DATE : JUNE 19, 2015.

Heard Shri S.P. Bhandarkar, learned
Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.S. Nayak, learned
A.P.P. for respondent no.1.

2. After hearing the respective counsel on
earlier occasion, transcript of talks between the
complainant and the applicant was called for. Learned
A.P.P. has today produced the same.

3. Contention of Shri Bhandarkar, learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant is that
ingredients of Section 15 of the Prevention of

2

Corruption Act, 1988 read with Section 13[1][c] and [d] are not made out, as there is no demand and acceptance. He further submits that alleged voice recording is not authenticated and there is no spectographic test carried out as yet. Unless and until the said recording is authenticated, no charge sheet can be filed.

4. Learned A.P.P. invites attention to reply affidavit. He submits that investigation is almost complete and final report has been prepared and sent to Senior officer. Further steps in the matter shall be taken in accordance with law.

5. We have perused the transcript. We find prima facie some material against the petitioner, at least at this stage. Hence, we are not inclined to interfere under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code. In this situation, with liberty to the applicant to raise this grievance in accordance with law at appropriate juncture and keeping all contentions open, we dispose of the present Criminal Application.

JUDGE**JUDGE**

Rgd.