

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.261/2014

Durga w/o Manohar Wankhede and others

...Versus...

Shri Somnath Shete, Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Wardha, Distt. Wardha

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Bhushan Mohata, Advocate for petitioners
Shri Abhay Sambre, Advocate for respondent

CORAM : Z.A. HAQ, J.

DATE : 13.02.2015

The petitioners allege the non-compliance of the order passed by the Industrial Court in complaint filed under Section 28 read with Items 5, 6 and 9 of Schedule IV of the Maharashtra Recognition of Trade Unions and Prevention of Unfair Labour Practices Act, 1971.

Shri Abhay Sambre, the learned Advocate for the respondent submits that the petitioners have appropriate remedy under the provisions of the Maharashtra Recognition of Trade Unions and Prevention of Unfair Labour Practices Act, 1971.

Shri Bhushan Mohata, the learned Advocate for the petitioners does not dispute this position.

In view of this, I am not inclined to invoke the jurisdiction under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

The Contempt Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to seek redressal of their grievance in appropriate proceedings, if so advised.

JUDGE

Wadkar