

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Contempt Petition No. 254 of 2014 in W.P.No. 6277 of 2012

Bala s/o Pundlikrao Satpute vs. Shri Omprakash Gudhe, Education Officer
(Secondary) Z.P., Civil Lines, Nagpur and others.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	<u>Court's or Judge's</u> <u>Orders.</u>
---	---

**CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI &
S.B.SHUKRE, JJ**

DATE : 23.3.2015

Heard Advocate Shri A. Shelat for the petitioner,
Advocate Shri R.S.Parsodkar, for respondents 2 and 4 and
Advocate Shri Deshpande, for respondent no.3.

2. Respondents 2 and 4 have not filed any reply after
the court orders dated 16.2.2015.

3. Shri A. Shelat, learned counsel states that on
17.3.2015 his client has received some amount from the
Education Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nagpur. According to him,
the amount, as worked out, is not correct. However, in
contempt jurisdiction he seeks costs for undue harassment
and delay.

4. Shri Parsodkar, the learned counsel submits that as

the petitioner did not cooperate, there has been some delay. Shri Deshpande states that there was some dispute and, therefore, delay has occurred.

5. We need not go into the reasons for delay in contempt jurisdiction. The petitioner can assail the correctness of the exercise before the competent forum in accordance with law. In that proceedings, he can also seek interest and costs. There, reasons for delay and other aspects can be gone into.

6. Therefore, keeping the said right of the petitioner in tact and with liberty to the respondents to raise suitable defence as and when occasion arises, we dispose of the present petition. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

patle

