

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.667 OF 2014

(Syed Haji Ali Karim Ali ..vs.. State of Maharashtra, through Secretary and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri C.B. Dharmadhikari, Advocate for the petitioner,
Smt. S.S. Jachak, AGP for the respondent No.1,
Shri N.W. Almelkar, Advocate for the respondent Nos.3,5 & 6,
Shri Rohit Joshi, Advocate for the respondent Nos.4, 7 & 8.

CORAM : Z.A. HAQ, J.

DATED : 11-09-2015

The learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.4, 7 and 8 submits that the information as required by the petitioner has been supplied. Shri C.B. Dharmadhikari, learned Advocate for the petitioner states that inspite of the directions given by the authorities under the Right to Information Act, the complete information as sought by the petitioner has not been supplied.

Considering the fact that there is a dispute as to whether entire information as sought by the petitioner has been supplied or not, in my view, it would not be appropriate to delve into the disputed facts.

The petition is disposed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority in the matter with his grievance. It would be open for the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority for his grievance as per paragraph 4 of the order dated

07-03-2013, if so advised.

JUDGE

pma