

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Criminal Application (APL) No.687/2014
 Digamber Sampat Wankhede Vs.State of Mah. And another.

 Office notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

 Shri Jain, Advocate for the applicant.
 Shri Vinod Thakre, APP for the State.
 Shri P.S. Kshirsagar, Adv. for respondent no.2.

**CORAM : A.B. Chaudhari &
 P.N. Deshmukh, JJ.**

DATE : 10.04.2015.

Heard the learned counsel for the rival parties.

The learned counsel for respondent no.2 states that
 respondent no.2 does not want to compound the offence registered
 against the applicant.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that there
 is no case made out against the applicant in the charge-sheet filed
 against the applicant and, therefore, this Court should interfere and
 quash the charge-sheet.

Upon perusal of the documents placed on record mainly
 the FIR and the charge-sheet, we think that the applicant should be
 relegated the alternate remedy. Hence, we make the following order:-

Order

Criminal Application (APL) no.687/2014 is disposed of
 reserving the liberty in favour of the applicant to apply for discharge
 or to take such other appropriate steps as available in law.

Criminal Application for discharge is filed before the
 competent Court and the same shall be decided expeditiously.

JUDGE

JUDGE