

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1507/2014 IN F.A. (ST)NO.20173/2014
((Sou. Jayashree @ Maya w/o Suresh Walke vs. Shri Suresh Santoshrao
Walke)

&

CIVIL APPLICATION (F) NO. 142/2014 IN A.O.NO.....2014
((Sou. Jayashree @ Maya w/o Suresh Walke vs. Shri Suresh Santoshrao
Walke)

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Mr. Arun S.Agrawal, Adv.for applicant/ appellant
Mr. P.S. Sadavarte, Adv.for respondent

CORAM : B.R.GAVAI &
Mrs. MRIDULA BHATKAR, JJ.
DATED : 2nd February, 2015.

Heard.

The above-referred Applications seek
condonation of delay. As per the office, the delay is of
39 days; whereas as per Shri Sadavarte, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of respondent, the delay is of 99
days.

By means of these Appeals, the appellant is
challenging the order passed by the learned Family Court
passing a decree of divorce. Both the applications are
opposed by Shri Sadavarte with his usual vehemence
contending that no valid reason is given for condonation
of delay.

The Appeals arise out of matrimonial dispute. The reason given in the application is that certain part of the affidavit evidence was not available and when this fact came to know of the applicant, she applied for obtaining certified copy of the evidence. On receipt of such affidavit evidence, she approached the counsel in the High Court who was contacted who took some time for filing the Appeal.

In that view of the matter, we find that the applicant has made out sufficient cause for condonation of delay.

Both the Applications for condonation of delay are allowed. Delay is condoned.

Post the Appeals for admission after two weeks.

JUDGE

JUDGE

sa hase