

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION W. NO.1415/2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.3382/2015

Nutan Vidarbha Shikshan Mandal through its Secretary, Amravati and others

...Versus...

The Education Officer (Sec.), Zilla Parishad, Amravati and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Anand Parchure, Advocate for petitioners
Shri N.S. Khubalkar, AGP for respondent no.1
Shri R.S. Sundaram, Advocate for respondent no.4

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

DATE : 14.10.2015

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

The learned Counsel for the respondent no.4 strongly
opposes the proposed amendment to the prayer clause.

We uphold the objection to the proposed amendment
to the prayer clause, as stated in paragraph nos.4 to 6 of the
amendment application. We, however, permit the petitioner to
incorporate the proposed amendment in paragraph nos.8A
and 8B. The amendment application is partly allowed. The
amendment should be carried out within a period of one week.

The civil application is allowed and disposed of.

CIVIL APPLICATION W. NO.2109/2015

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

Perused the contents of the application. The amendment does not change the nature of the petition. In fact, it is based on the objection raised by the Education Officer. The civil application is allowed and disposed of. The amendment should be carried out within a period of one week.

WRIT PETITION NO.3382/2015

Stand over after two weeks for further consideration.

The Education Officer should either file reply by the returnable date or the learned Assistant Government Pleader should work out the matter in the absence of the reply.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Wadkar