

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION W. NO.2839/2014

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.3715/2013 (D)

Ku. Meena Ramdas Shahare

...Versus...

State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary, Department of Secondary & Higher
Secondary Education, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32 and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri C.B. Dharmadhikari, Advocate for applicant
Mrs. M.P. Munshi, Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.

DATE : 02.09.2015

Heard.

The learned Counsel for the Zilla Parishad raises an objection to the tenability of the application. It is stated that in a disposed of writ petition the application is filed. It is stated that specific directions in favour of the applicant were not issued and the Zilla Parishad was only directed to follow the Government Resolution. It is stated that if a fresh cause of action has arisen, the applicant can take up appropriate proceedings, but the civil application, seeking the aforesaid relief, is not tenable.

We uphold the objection raised on behalf of the Zilla Parishad and dispose of the civil application with liberty to the applicant to take up appropriate proceedings.

The civil application is disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE