

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.2401 of 1998

Abiruchi Kale and Krida Mandal Thr. its Secretary, M.T. Deshmukh v. State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr Fulzele, AGP for respondent No.1.

CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
A. S. CHANDURKAR, JJ

DATED : February 05, 2015

Matter was called out on 29/01/2015 and as learned counsel representing petitioners are no more, we were about to adjourn the matter for taking appropriate steps. However, then our attention was invited to prayer clauses to show that petition may have become infructuous. Those prayer clauses are as under :

- (i) *Direct the respondents 1 to 4 to complete the sports complex scheme sanctioned under MPLAD within a specified reasonable time;*
- (ii) *To grant interim relief directing that respondent No.3 not to divert the funds or change of site or refund the amount to respondent No.2.*

In order to enable learned AGP to obtain instructions, we kept the matter today. Today on instructions, learned AGP submits that work of sports complex sanctioned under MPLAD is already completed.

In view of this statement, it is apparent that challenge is rendered infructuous. Accordingly, we dispose of writ petition as infructuous. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

asmita