

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY, NAGPUR
BENCH, NAGPUR.

Civil Application (CAW) Nos. 2111 and 3113 of 2014

in

WRIT PETITION NO. 950 of 2014

(Sanjay s/o Maniram Thakre Vs. Zilla Parishad, Gondia through its C.E.O. and
ors.)

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders
.....

Court's or Judge's order

Smt. Neeta Jog, Advocate for petitioner
Shri A. Y. Kapgate, Advocate for respondent nos. 1 and 2
Shri R. D. Khade, Advocate for applicant in CAW 2111/14
Shri Gadkari, Advocate for applicant in CAW 3113/14

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI &
A.P.BHANGALE, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 9, 2015.

As learned counsel for the petitioner fairly
gives no objection, applications are allowed.

The applicants be shown as respondent
no. 4 onwards in Writ Petition No. 950/2014.
Necessary amendment be carried out by the
petitioner within one week.

Copies of writ petition be served upon the
added respondents. Added respondents to file
reply, if any, within one week thereafter.

Shri Kapgate, learned counsel submits that a fraudulent document has been used and this Court has been misled, hence action under Article 215 of the Constitution of India is necessary. Shri Gadkari, learned counsel submits that on the same ground, his client has also moved an application under Section 195.

Respective counsel submit that in view of fraudulent document produced on record, writ petition should not be heard.

We keep their objections open. List the writ petition along with other civil applications after three weeks.

JUDGE

JUDGE

wasnik

...../-