

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.**

Contempt Petition No. 139 of 2014

(Santosh s/o Mahadeo Kalsait vs. C.E.O., Shri Shivajirao Jondhale, Z.P. Nagpur)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Sanjay Tijare Advocate for the Petitioner.
None for the respondent.

...

**Coram : B.P. Dharmadhikari &
S.B. Shukre, JJ.**

Date : 17th March, 2015.

Heard.

Perused the reply filed by respondent. Along with this reply, an extract of bank account of petitioner with Nagpur District Central Cooperative Bank, Branch at Dhapewada, is annexed. This extract shows that on 7.11.1997 by B/C an amount of Rs.1,06,017/- has been credited to the saving bank account of the petitioner.

Petitioner claims that he did not use that account after his superannuation. The extract of account, however, shows that there have been withdrawals on 10.11.1997 and 11.11.1997 and a deposit of Rs. 2,590/- on 15.11.1997.

It is apparent the question whether the petitioner received the amount mentioned or not, is a disputed question. Mr.Tijare, learned counsel for the petitioner, has produced before us a letter dated 22.1.2015 issued by the Chief Officer of Nagpur

District Central Cooperative Bank, Nagpur, to the petitioner. The bank has refused to give information under Right to Information Act.

In this situation, we grant petitioner liberty to take steps as are available in law. As we do not find any contempt, petition is disposed of. No costs.

Judge

Judge

/TA/