

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY, NAGPUR
BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.3836 OF 2013

**Ku. Nathabai Maroti Rane ..vs.. The Scheduled Tribe Certificate
Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli, Division Nagpur and ors**

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders
.....

Court's or Judge's order

Shri A.P. Thakre, counsel for the petitioner.
Mrs. Bharti Dangre, G.P. R-4.

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI &
A.P.BHANGALE, JJ.
DATE : JANUARY 8, 2015.

Heard.

The petitioner, who has secured *interim* order from this Court on 19.7.2013, has not placed on record either family tree or the report of the Vigilance Cell Authorities. The caste scrutiny committee has specifically recorded the finding that the petitioner has failed to establish affinity. That finding is also not assailed in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to demonstrate any error with the finding recorded on the ground of affinity. Hence, even if the other finding of the scrutiny committee that the petitioner does not hail from the area which prior to 1976 was recognized for the purposes of grant of status of Scheduled Tribe, is ignored, the petition

cannot be allowed.

In this situation, as the petitioner is failing to establish any relationship with the persons mentioned in the petition as also affinity, no case is made out warranting any interference. The writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

!! BRW !!