

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.494 OF 2014

SAJID ALI S/O WAHID ALI

V/S

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, NAGPUR
AND ANOTHER

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

Shri R.S. Renu, counsel for the Petitioner.
Shri Uke, APP for the State.

**CORAM : A. B. CHAUDHARI &
P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.**
DATE : MARCH 20, 2015.

P.C.

Heard Shri R.S. Renu, learned counsel for the petitioner
and Shri S.M. Uke, learned APP for the State.

Seen affidavit vide St. No.981 of 2015 filed by the
petitioner. In paragraph Nos.2 and 3 of the affidavit he has
given undertaking that he would not disturb the traffic nor
obstruct smooth running traffic in any manner whatsoever
while running his business.

We are, therefore, satisfied with the affidavit filed by the
petitioner. Impugned notice dated 9.6.2014 issued under
Section 101/117 of the Bombay Police Act needs to be quashed
and set aside.

Hence, in view of the undertaking Rule is made absolute
in terms of prayer clause (i) of the writ petition.

JUDGE

JUDGE

!! BRW !!

...../-