

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

Criminal Application (ABA) No.296 of 2015
(Sachin Damke V/s State of Maharashtra thr PSO PS Chandur Bazar, Amravti)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
 Coram, appearances, Court's orders
 or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

{Shri T.U. Tathod, Adv for applicant.
 Smt Jachak, APP for respondent}

CORAM : A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.

DATED : 25.06.2015.

Heard the learned Counsel for the
 rival parties.

For the reasons given by the
 learned trial judge in para no.3 of the
 impugned order and looking to age of the
 girl and looking to the provisions of
 the POSCO Act, I am not inclined to
 grant bail.

Para no.3 of the impugned order
 reads thus :-

*3] Statement of the victim
 girl and her friends recorded.
 Her friend supported to her
 version. The allegation against
 the applicant that he teased the
 young girl who was going to
 answer paper of 10th standard.
 The incident happened brought
 day light in the village on road
 in presence of friends of the*

victim girl. Considering the nature of allegations and nature of offence as well as applicant teased to the victim girl who is 16 years of age, I am of the view that offence is serious nature. Though the custody did not required but considering the seriousness of offence and crime teasing to young girls are increasing, such crime is against the society, thus I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant.

Hence, I make the following order

:-

Order

Criminal Application (ABA) No.296 of 2015 is dismissed.

JUDGE

Deshmukh