

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2689/2015

(MILIND JANARDHAN JUNGHARE & ANOTHER **VERSUS** UNION OF INDIA & ANOTHER)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Rohan Thawani and Shri D.C. Daga, counsel for the petitioners.
 Shri P.D. Meghe, counsel for the R-2.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 7, 2015.

By this petition, the petitioners impugn the communications of the respondent no.2-Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, dated 17.03.2015 and 13.04.2015 informing the petitioners that the entire process conducted in pursuance of the advertisement for grant of L.P.G. Dealership, such as scrutiny/draw held/re-draw held/field verification is not to be acted upon and the petitioners would be informed about the subsequent developments separately. It is informed to the petitioners by the said communications that in view of the judgment in a bunch of writ petitions in respect of issue of reckoning of the lease period, the list of eligible and ineligible candidates is being reviewed.

Shri Meghe, the learned counsel for the respondent no.2-Corporation, states on instructions that the respondent no.2-Corporation is not desirous of issuing a fresh advertisement and only the eligible candidates that have applied in pursuance of the advertisement dated 29.09.2013 would be considered. It is stated that in view of the judgment in a bunch of writ petitions bearing Writ Petition No.2812 of 2014 and others, the candidates that were declared ineligible on the basis of their lease-deeds would be reconsidered to gauge their eligibility. It is stated that the petitioners and the other eligible candidates would be considered

along with the candidates, who were declared ineligible earlier on the basis of their lease-deeds but, who would be declared eligible after reconsideration of their lease-deeds. It is stated that a fresh advertisement would not be called and the freshly declared eligible candidates would be considered along with the candidates that were declared eligible earlier. It is stated that the question of eligibility of the candidates that were declared eligible would not be reconsidered.

The learned counsel for the petitioners states that the grievance of the petitioners would stand redressed in view of the statement made on behalf of the respondent no.2-Corporation.

Hence, we dispose of the writ petition by accepting the statement made on behalf of the respondent no.2-Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, which would be binding on the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited.

Order accordingly. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE