

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 375 OF 2015.

Akhtar Khan Raheman Khan ..vs.. The State of Mah. and others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr.Ateeb A.Syed, Adv. for the petitioner.
Mr.D.B.Patel, APP for respondent nos.1 to 4.

CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI AND
P.N.DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : JUNE 09, 2015.

Heard Advocate Mr.Ateeb Syed for petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned APP invites attention to prayer clauses to urge that
disciplinary action is sought against erring police Officers on
administrative side.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, suitable
cognizance of complaint made by complainant/victim has not been
taken by police authorities and they have shielded respondent no.8.
He, therefore, submits that appropriate cognizance of complaint made
by petitioner against respondent no.8 needs to be taken and for lapse or
dereliction of duty on the part of police officers, action against them
also needs to be taken.

In this background we have examined the papers. A Writ of
Mandamus is being sought in the matter. Petitioner himself has not
made any representation. The representation dated 8th of April, 2015
at Annexure 'B' is by an Association which espouses his cause.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also sought liberty to
move appropriate amendment application.

In this situation, we find that the interest of justice can be

made by giving petitioner liberty to move appropriate representation to respondent no.3.

If said representation seeking necessary action is moved within period of four weeks from today, respondent no.3 or concerned respondent shall look into it in accordance with law within next six weeks.

Keeping all rival contentions open and with these directions, we dispose of present Criminal Writ Petition.

No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Chute.