

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPA) NO.264 OF 2014
(Hasina Begum Mohd. Shafiq vs. State of Maharashtra and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Shri R.R. Gaur, Advocate (appointed) for applicant.
Ms. T. Udeshi, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondent no.1.
Ms. Vaidya, Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 8.
Ms. D.K. Gawali, Advocate for respondent nos.3 to 6.
Shri A.K. Bhangade, Advocate for respondent no.7.

CORAM : A.B. CHAUDHARI AND
 P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : APRIL 23, 2015

Learned Counsel for the applicant/
appellant submits that in terms of the judgment of
this Court in the case of **Balasaheb Rangnath**
Khade vs. State of Maharashtra and others
{2013(3) Mh.L.J. (Cri.) 99}, there is no provision for
limitation in filing an appeal by a private party and,
therefore, application for condonation of delay was
not required to be made.

In view of the present legal position, we

accept the contention of learned Counsel for the applicant/appellant and waive the office objection about limitation. The criminal application is disposed of.

Criminal Appeal be registered as a regular Criminal Appeal.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj