

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WP No. 3933 of 2014
Anshakalin v. State of Maharashtra and ors

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr D. I. Jain, Adv for petitioner

CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI AND
A. P. BHANGALE , JJ

DATED : 6th January 2015

1. Petitioner was given time-bound appointment and has not been continued thereafter. Order relieving him is dated 12.1.2013. It is assailed in this petition filed on 16.4.2014.

2. Laches or delay are not explained. Cause of action is also not clearly spelt out. Petitioner is not in a position to point out as to what has happened to other similarly situated persons. Learned counsel for petitioner, however, submits that at Aurangabad, the Division Bench of this High Court has passed some orders in the case of similarly situated persons and he is not aware of the exact effect of those orders.

3. If petitioner finds that he has not been treated equally or is not extended benefits as extended to those who are similarly situated, he can avail of remedy in accordance with law.

4. Hence, with said liberty to the petitioner,
we dispose of the present writ petition. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

joshi