

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.2076 of 2014

(M/s. S.K. Transline Private Limited, through its authorized Signatory Bhaskar S. Mandole v. The State of Maharashtra, through its Principal Secretary, Industries, Energy & Labour Department, Mumbai, and others)

Office Notes, Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri N.R. Saboo, Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri N.R. Patil, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent No.1.
Shri M.R. Pillai, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
Shri M.V. Mohokar, Advocate for Respondents No.3 and 4.

Coram : Smt. Vasanti A. Naik &

A.M. Badar, JJ.

Date : 7th August, 2015

Shri Pillai, the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 – Mathadi Board states that in view of the compromise between the petitioner and the respondents No.2 to 4, the cause for filing the writ petition would not survive.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent No.2, the writ petition is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Judge

Judge.