

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4389/2001

(S.K. NIGAM & ANOTHER **VERSUS** E.S.TITUS, A.C. OF INCOME TAX & ANOTHER)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Mohta h/f Shri A. Parchure, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri A.M. Sudame, counsel for the R-1.

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
PRASANNA B. VARALE, JJ.**

DATE : JULY 10, 2015.

PURSIS ST. NO.6796/2015.

Since the petitioner no.2 has expired, the name of the
petitioner no.2 may be deleted from the array of the petitioners.
The amendment should be carried out forthwith.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4389/2001

(S.K. NIGAM & ANOTHER **VERSUS** E.S.TITUS, A.C. OF INCOME TAX & ANOTHER)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Mohta h/f Shri A. Parchure, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri A.M. Sudame, counsel for the R-1.

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
PRASANNA B. VARALE, JJ.

DATE : JULY 10, 2015.

Shri Mohta, the learned counsel for the petitioners, states that in view of the objection raised by the respondents to the tenability of the writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner are desirous of challenging the order before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The learned counsel seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition, in this background.

Permission to withdraw the writ petition is granted.

The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

JUDGE

JUDGE

APTE