

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

Public Interest Litigation No.16/2010
(Vidarbha Pradesh Prakaalpgrasta Sangharsha Samiti and another .vs. State of
Mah. And others.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions Court's or Judge's orders.
and Registrar's orders

Mr. S.S. Dhengale, Advocate for Petitioners.
Mrs. B.H. Dangre, G.P. For Respondents 1,2,5 to 7
Mr. R.M. Bhangde, Advocate for Respondent no.3.

CORAM : B.R. Gavai &
Mrs. Mridula Bhatkar, JJ.
DATED : January 07, 2015.

1. The petitioners have filed the public interest litigation contending that various irregularities and illegalities are committed by respondent no.3 and in spite of the representations made in that regard, no steps are taken by the authorities.

2. It appears that the complaints of the petitioners pertain to the two departments, one is the Education Department and the other regarding Food Supplies Department.

3. Insofar as the complaint pertaining to the matters coming under the jurisdiction of the Education Department is concerned, an affidavit has been filed by Shri Sanjay Manikrao Yadgire, Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Amravati stating therein that detailed inquiries were made in respect of the allegations made by the petitioners and upon an inquiry, nothing was found in the matter. It has been categorically stated that petitioner no.2 is in the habit of

threatening the officers of self immolation and committing suicide by consuming poison.

4. Insofar as the complaint pertaining to the matters coming within the jurisdiction of the Food Supplies Department is concerned, the allegations appeared to be pertaining to irregularity in terms of Midday Meals Scheme. In the affidavit dated 30th July, 2011 filed by Shri R.R. Chandurkar, District Supply Officer, Amaravati, it is categorically stated that the departmental inquiry was conducted into the allegations and in the inquiry, respondent no.3 was found guilty. It has been stated that in the departmental inquiry, a penalty in the tune of Rs.55,748/- was imposed upon respondent no.3.

5. In that view of the matter, we find nothing survives in the petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

6. In view of disposal of PIL, Civil Application Nos. 1590/2010 and 44/2011 also stand disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE