

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.2066/2015

Smt. Munnibai w/o Mangaldas Jedhe and others

...Versus...

**The State of Maharashtra, Through the Secretary, Department of Urban
Development, Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32 and others**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Shri Mohd. Ateeque, Advocate for petitioners
Shri D.M. Kale, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3
Shri A.R. Advocate for respondent no.4

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

DATE : 12.10.2015

The learned Counsel for the petitioners fairly states that the grievance of the petitioner nos.1 and 2 stands redressed in the entirety but the grievance of the petitioner nos.3 to 5 in respect of leave encashment is not satisfied.

It is the case of the petitioner nos.3 to 5 that certain leave is accumulated to the credit of the petitioner nos.3 to 5 but the respondent no.4 has denied the said fact. It is stated that the petitioner nos.3 to 5 are inclined to avail appropriate remedy for seeking the benefit towards leave encashment.

Since all other grievances of the petitioner stand satisfied and since the petitioner nos.3 to 5 are ready to avail the appropriate remedy for seeking the benefit of leave encashment, we dispose of the writ petition with no order as to costs.

The point in regard to leave encashment is kept open.

JUDGE

JUDGE