

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

C.A.O. NO.699/2015

IN

M.C.A. APPLICATION (REVIEW) STAMP NO.6274/2015

IN

M.C.A. NO.1082/2014 (D)

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.5139/2013 (P)

Mineral Exploration Corporation Ltd., Nagpur

..Versus..

Minexplore Employees Democratic Union, Nagpur and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM : Z.A. HAQ, J.

DATE : 15.4.2015

Heard Shri M.D. Samel, the learned advocate for the applicant

and Shri A.P. Gera, the learned advocate for the non-applicants 1 to

14.

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay in filing the

miscellaneous civil application is condoned. The civil application is allowed.

M.C.A. STAMP NO.6274/2015

This is an application filed by the original respondent no.2 seeking review of the interim order passed by this Court on 13th February, 2015. Shri Gera, the learned advocate for the original petitioners have raised an objection to the maintainability of the application on the ground that the order dated 13th February, 2015 was passed on review application and the second review application cannot be entertained. Shri Samel, the learned advocate for the applicant/respondent no.2 submits that the order dated 13th February, 2015 is passed on review application which was filed by the original petitioners and it cannot be said that the present application filed by the original respondent no.2, is not maintainable.

Without delving into the above controversy, in my view, the application has to be dismissed as I do not see any error apparent on the record to review the order dated 13th February, 2015. The

submissions, which are now made on behalf of the applicant/respondent no.2, were made at the time of hearing of the review application on which the order dated 13th February, 2015 is passed. After considering the submissions made on behalf of the applicant/respondent no.2, clause (ii) was incorporated in the order dated 13th February, 2015.

In view of the above, I do not see any reason to review the order dated 13th February, 2015. The prayer made on behalf of the applicant/respondent no.2 in that regards is dismissed.

However, considering the facts of the case, the applicant/respondent no.2 is granted time till 15th July, 2015 as prayed by it to comply with the order dated 13th February, 2015. It is clarified that no further extension of time will be granted. The civil application is disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

JUDGE

Tambaskar.