

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO.910 OF 2015
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO.911 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.5792 OF 2014

(SMT. PUSHPA RAMBHAU VISHWEKAR & OTH..vs... DNYANESHWAR DADAJI BHUSARI & OTH.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.S.Kulkarni, Advocate for Applicant/ Petitioner.
Shri N.R.Bhishikar, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Ms Sangeeta Jachak, A.G.P. for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 01, 2015.

Accepting the reasons stated in the application and the fact that it is not opposed by the respondents, inasmuch as the reply is not filed, delay in filing the application for bringing legal representatives of the petitioner on record, is condoned. The applicants are permitted to get themselves impleaded as the petitioners.

The civil applications are allowed.

CAW NOS. 912/2015 & 913/2015.

The learned advocate for the petitioners (brought on the record today) submits that the respondent No.2(b) is the legal representative of the respondent No.2(a) and there is no other legal representative.

Considering these facts and accepting the reasons stated in the applications, the delay in filing the application for bringing legal representatives of the respondent No.2(a) on the record is condoned and the petitioners are permitted to delete name of the respondent No.2(a).

The civil applications are disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

RRaut..