

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.176 OF 2015

(Ashrat Shafique Ahmed Ansari vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Ms. D.K. Gawali, Advocate (appointed) for
petitioner.
Shri T.A. Mirza, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondents.

CORAM : A.B. CHAUDHARI AND
 P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.

DATED : AUGUST 3, 2015

It is not in dispute that there is a Government Circular based on the directions from the Home Ministry that the prisoners involved in the offences against nation or its security or security of citizens and who are lodged in the Death Sentence Cell are not allowed conversation on telephone.

Shri Mirza, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondents, states that separate petition has been filed by the petitioner posing

challenge to the said policy of the Government reflected through letter of Inspector General (Prisons), Pune.

In view of the said Government Circular, we do not find any merit in the criminal writ petition. The criminal writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.

The fee payable to the learned Counsel appointed for petitioner is quantified as rupees fifteen hundred.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj