

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.144 OF 2015

(Santosh Krushna Narote vs. The Divisional Commissioner and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders Court's or Judge's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Ms. Smita Taksande, Advocate (appointed) for
petitioner.

Mrs. S.S. Jachak, Additional Public Prosecutor for
respondents.

CORAM : A.B. CHAUDHARI AND
 P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.

DATED : APRIL 9, 2015

The petitioner has approached this Court
being aggrieved by rejection of his application for
extension of his parole by the impugned order passed
by respondent no.2.

Heard learned Counsel for the parties and
perused affidavit-in-reply filed by respondents. We
find that the petitioner sought parole on the ground
of ill health of his daughter Arti. The petitioner
thereafter applied for extension of parole on
11/11/2014. However, request of petitioner was

turned down considering that there were no sufficient documents produced establishing that his daughter was suffering from serious ailment.

From the medical certificate relied by the petitioner, it appears that petitioner's daughter was suffering from viral fever and that too, on 22/11/2014. There is no further document placed on record to establish physical condition of the petitioner's daughter as on date. Considering nature of ailment of petitioner's daughter, we do not find any reason for grant of extension of parole. The criminal writ petition is devoid of merits and hence, the same is rejected.

The fee payable to the learned Counsel appointed for the petitioner is quantified as rupees fifteen hundred.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj