

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.49/2013

Ku. Shivgeeta Sadashiv Wasumate

...Versus...

Shri Balwant Nanaji Upalenchwar and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri V.P. Gangane, Advocate for petitioner

Shri G.R. Kothari, Adv. h/f Shri V.R. Chaudhari, Adv. for respondent no.2

CORAM : Z.A. HAQ, J.

DATE : 19.01.2015

Heard Shri V.P. Gangane, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Shri G.R. Kothari, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.R. Chaudhari, Advocate for respondent no.2.

The learned Advocates for the respective parties submit that the respondent no.1 is reported to be dead and the services of the respondent no.2 are terminated and therefore, she is not Headmistress of the School.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the order passed by the School Tribunal in Appeal No.39/2012 on 29.11.2012, directing the respondents to pay the arrears of salary, has not been complied.

In view of the facts stated above, I am of the view that the prosecution of the contempt petition further will not be justified and will not serve any purpose.

The notice of contempt issued against the respondents is dropped. The petitioner is at liberty to seek enforcement of the order against the appropriate parties, if so advised.

Contempt Petition is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

Wadkar