

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.753/2013

Naresh s/o Vinayakrao Bansod

...Versus...

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, Mumbai through its Chairman
and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri S.D. Zoting, Advocate for petitioner
Shri S.V. Purohit, Advocate for respondent no.1
Mrs. R.S. Sirpurkar, Advocate for respondent no.4

CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK AND
PRASANNA B. VARALE, JJ.

DATE : 16.11.2015

By this petition, the petitioner seeks a declaration that the appointment of the respondent no.4 on the post of Member, Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Nagpur dated 12.11.2012 is bad in law. The petitioner has challenged the order of appointment of the respondent no.4, dated 12.11.2012.

It is not in dispute that the appointment of the respondent no.4 as a Member, Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Nagpur was for a period of three years w.e.f. 12.11.2012 and the period of appointment has expired.

In view of the said position, the cause for filing the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. The issue whether the respondent no.4 could have been appointed on the post of

Member, Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, in accordance with law and whether the respondent no.4 was eligible for such appointment would be purely academic as the period of appointment has expired.

Since the cause for filing the writ petition has been rendered infructuous due to passage of time, we dispose of the writ petition with no order as to costs. The question in regard to the eligibility of the respondent no.4 to be appointed on the post of Member, Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum is, however, kept open.

Order accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Wadkar