

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO.13 OF 2015
Shri Umesh S/o Purushottam Agrawal
..vs..

**State Bank of India, a Banking Company, thr its Branch Manager,
Amravati Road, Nagpur and ors**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
of directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

Shri A.M. Ghare, Counsel for the Petitioner.
Shri M. Anilkumar, Counsel for R-1 & 2.

CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
MRS MRIDULA R. BHATKAR, JJ.
DATED : FEBRUARY 12, 2015.

Heard.

Shri A.M. Ghare, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that in view of availability of general remedy, the petitioner seeks liberty to withdraw the writ petition. However, he states that in order to avail of the alternate remedy, *interim* protection granted by this Court may be continued for a period of four weeks more from today.

The request made by Shri A.M. Khare, learned counsel for the petitioner, is being vehemently opposed by Shri M. Anilkumar,

.....2/-

learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

However, we are inclined to allow the petition to be withdrawn with liberty to avail alternate remedy as are permissible in law.

The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Interim protection granted by this Court earlier shall be continued for a period of four weeks more from today and the same shall be ceased to operate thereafter automatically.

JUDGE

JUDGE

!! BRW !!

...../-