

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 51 OF 2015

Bajaj Finance Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

Delta Infra Limited & Another

.....Respondents

Mr. Nikhil Mehta i/b. KMC Legal Venture for the Petitioner.
None for Respondents.

CORAM: S.J. KATHAWALLA, J.**DATED: 11TH MARCH 2015****P.C.:**

1. The above Petition is filed by the Petitioner under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking reliefs as prayed against the Respondents. The Petition, is served on the Respondents and an Affidavit proving service is on record. The Petition is taken up for final hearing. However, none appear for the Respondents.

2. By a Loan cum Hypothecation Agreement ("said Agreement") dated 30th June 2012, the Petitioner provided a total loan of Rs. 1,33,78,105/- (Rupees One Crores Thirty Three Lacs Seventy Eight Thousand One Hundred and Five Only) whereby a total of 15 assets came to be hypothecated to the Respondents. The present Petition is filed by the Petitioner in regard to the Loan Account Nos: 4050CE00317480. The said

Account relates to the asset being 5310 TRACTOR/L 3198 bearing Registration Nos: TN 76 U 0266 ("said Asset") more particularly described in paragraph 2 of the Petition. Under the said Agreement, the said Asset was hypothecated with the Petitioner by the Respondents, as security for repayment of the Loan amount.

3. The total Loan amount of Rs. 1,33,78,105/- (Rupees One Crore Thirty Three Lacs Seventy Eight Thousand One Hundred and Five Only) was repayable by the Respondents to the Petitioner with interest at the compounding rate of 13.83% in 29 installments.

4. Respondent No.2 has executed the Loan Agreement in the capacity as Guarantor of the aforesaid Loan. Clause 7 of the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement provides for the events of default; Clause 8 for the consequences of default; Clause 8(3) provides for Repossession of Asset. Clause 9 provides for Arbitration. There has been a default on the part of the Respondents and the Respondents failed to pay to the Petitioner a sum of Rs. 3,94,626/- (Rupees Three Lacs Ninety Four Thousand Six Hundred and Twenty Six only) as on 17.12.2014. The events of default having taken place in terms of the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement, the Petitioner became entitled to recall and have recalled the entire loan. There was no reply to the Loan Recall Notice dated 17.04.2013. The

Petitioner therefore invoked the arbitration clause in the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement dated 30th June 2012.

5. In the present Petition, the Petitioner has sought appointment of the Court Receiver, High Court Bombay as the Receiver of the hypothecated Asset, more particularly described in paragraph 2 to the Petition. The Respondents have not filed their Affidavit in Reply and are also not present before the Court. In absence of any defence or contest by the Respondents, the averments contained in the Petition have remained uncontroverted. I see no reason why the statements/ submissions made by the Petitioner in the Petition should not be accepted. As the Respondents have defaulted in the repayment of the outstanding dues, it is just and necessary to safeguard the interest of the Petitioner by appointing the Court Receiver as Receiver of the Hypothecated Asset. The appointment of the Receiver is necessary in order to ensure that the said Asset is not wasted or alienated, thereby defeating the rights of the Petitioner. Further interim injunction in terms of prayer clause (c) also needs to be granted to protect the rights of the Petitioner. The claim of the Petitioner is over Rs.3.94 lakhs and unless adequately protected, the Petitioner may suffer irreparable harm and injury. Balance of convenience also warrants the grant of relief. Section 9 empowers the Court to pass an interim measure of protection. Hence, the following order is passed:

- a) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the arbitration proceedings and the execution of Award, if any under Section 36 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act 1996, the Court Receiver, High Court, Bombay is appointed as Receiver in respect of the Hypothecated Asset, more particularly described in paragraph 2 to the Petition, with direction to take forcible physical possession of the said Asset with police assistance, if required, and without any prior notice to the Respondents;
- (b) The Court Receiver shall within a period of two weeks after taking possession, give an option to the Respondents, in writing to act as agents of the Receiver in respect of the said Asset. The Respondents shall be given two weeks time by the Court Receiver from the date of receipt of the Court Receivers communication/letter to exercise such option. In the event of the Respondents being desirous of acting as agents of the Receiver, they shall be appointed as agents of the Receiver, subject to deposit of security and payment of royalty. The Receiver shall determine the quantum of security and royalty having regard to the terms and conditions contained in the Loan-cum-Hypothecation Agreement (Exhibit A to the Petition);
- (c) In the event that the Respondents do not communicate their willingness to the Receiver to act as agents within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the communication from the Court Receiver, it

would be open to the Petitioner to apply to the Court for further orders including sale of the said asset by private treaty;

(d) There shall also be an interim injunction restraining the Respondents from disposing of, alienating, encumbering, parting with possession or creating any third party rights in respect of the said Asset described in paragraph 2 to the Petition.

6) The Arbitration Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. KATHAWALLA, J.)