

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 3303 OF 2006
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 23 OF 2004

The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Import)	}	Applicant
versus		
M/s. Mahavir Medical Research	}	
Centre	}	Respondent

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTIOIN NO. 2138 OF 2008
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 4 OF 2006

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1097 OF 2010
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL (L) NO. 82 OF 2009

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1762 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 103 OF 2015

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1766 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 104 OF 2015

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1778 OF 2015
IN
MODVAT APPEAL NO. 2 OF 2015

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1826 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 165 OF 2015

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1856 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 177 OF 2014

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1857 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 176 OF 2014

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1858 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 254 OF 2013

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1906 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 75 OF 2015

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1908 OF 2015
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 304 OF 2014

WITH
NOTICE OF MOITON NO. 1975 OF 2015
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL (L) NO. 24 OF 2015

Mr. Pradeep S. Jetly with Mr. Jitendra B. Mishra for the Applicant in NMA/3303/2006.

Mr. A. S. Rao for the Applicant in NMA/1826/2015.

Mr. Jitendra B. Mishra for the Applicant in NMA/2138/2008.

Mr. Rohit Pandey i/b. Mr. Dinesh Pandey for the Applicant in NMA/1762/2015 and 1766/2015.

Mr. M. S. Murthy for the Applicant in NMA/1856/2015 and 1857/2015.

Mr. A. S. Rao with Mr. Jitendra Mishra for the Respondents in NMA/1856/2015 and 1857/2015.

Mr. A. S. Rao i/b. Ms. Anamika Malhotra for the Applicant in NMA/1906/2015.

Mr. Mayank Jain i/b. M/s. Khaitan and Co. for the Applicant in NMA/1908/2015.

Mr. Rohit Raheja for the Applicant in NMA/1975/2015.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.**

DATED :- NOVEMBER 30, 2015

P.C. :-

In all these Notice of Motions, the Registry has reported that after they were filed, the applicants and the advocates have not complied with the procedural rules and have not removed the office objections, particularly on the point of filing of an affidavit proving service. Let that be done within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, failing which, the Notice of Motion/s would stand dismissed without further reference to this Court.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)