

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 190 OF 2015

M/s. Tata Teleservices	}	
(Maharashtra) Ltd.	}	Appellant
versus		
The Commissioner of Central	}	
Service Tax, Mumbai – II	}	Respondent

WITH
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 191 OF 2015

Mr. Sunil Gupta – Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vaibhav Patankar, Mr. Rajan Mishra and
Mr. Mihir P. Deshmukh for the Appellants.

Mr. Pradeep S. Jetly with Mr. Jitendra B.
Mishra for the Respondent.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
B. P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATED :- OCTOBER 12, 2015

P.C. :-

Having heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Appellant and Mr. Mishra appearing for the Revenue, we are of the opinion that the controversy raised in these Appeals and the questions of law are covered by our judgment in the case of *M/s. Vodafone India Limited vs. Commissioner of Central Excise, Mumbai - II* in **Central Excise Appeal No.126 of 2015** and other Appeals decided on 10th September, 2015.

2) In view thereof each of these Appeals of the Assessee are dismissed, but without any order as to costs.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)