

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2794 OF 2013
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.309 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2794 OF 2013

Sunil Dayal Rajani	Petitioner
versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	Respondents

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.8 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1568 OF 2009

Sunil Dayal Rajani	Petitioner
versus	
Vajnath Sitaram Phad and others	Respondents

Mr.Sunil Dayal Rajani, Petitioner in person, present.
Mr.Milind More, Additional Govt.Pleader for Respondents 1 and 2.
Ms.Meena Doshi for Respondent no.8.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI AND
B.PCOLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATE : 2 December 2015

PC :

The Petitioner appearing in person raises several claims including challenging the election process and its outcome. He also challenges the authority of a private

individual to represent, according to him, a company which has been a member of the co-operative housing society in such elections. Nature of the reliefs that are claimed in the petition, would indicate that there are several disputes which cannot be resolved in writ petition. They being factual in nature and the Petitioner has adequate remedies to seek redressal of his grievances, he can approach such authorities as are set out under the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 including a Co-operative Court. We find that such disputed factual issues, as are raised, cannot be brought under writ jurisdiction.

2. The writ petition is, therefore, disposed of on the ground that the Petitioner must avail alternate and efficacious remedies under Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)