

hvn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2794 OF 2012

Udaylal Nanmal Jain and Ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

The Commissioner of Municipal corporation
of Greater Mumbai and anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Sanjiv Sawant for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram Apte, Sr. Counsel along with Ms. Vandana Mahadik for respondent
B.M.C.

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK &
SHRI C.V. BHADANG, JJ.
DATED : 20th JANUARY, 2015**

P.C.

Heard.

Mr. Sawant, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the statement made by the Corporation in paragraphs 12 and 16 of the affidavit dated 19th December, 2014, the grievance of the petitioners would not survive. It is stated that since the Municipal Corporation has directed the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to decide the matter only in regard to the demolition of the four upper floors of the building and since the ground floor shops and structures are retained, the petitioners are not desirous of prosecuting the writ petition.

In view of the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with no order as to costs.

(C.V. BHADANG,J.)

(VASANTI A.NAIK, J.)