

Sharayu.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION
TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO. 98 OF 2011
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO. 982 OF 2010**

Dinesh Mulchand Kansara & Ors. ...Plaintiffs
Versus
Pushpa Natwarlal Kansara & Ors. ...Defendants

Ms. Nikita Kadam, *I/b M/s. M. Ranchhoddas, for the Plaintiffs.*
Mr. Girin Pandit, *for the Defendants No. 1 to 3.*

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 7th May 2015

PC:-

1. Not on board. Mentioned. By consent taken on board.
2. Parties have settled their disputes. They file Consent Terms. These are signed by the parties on both sides. They have been explained to Defendant No. 1 in Gujarati by Defendants No. 2 and 3. All parties are present in Court. The Consent Terms are also signed by the Advocates for parties. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked “X” for identification. Undertakings in the

Consent Terms are accepted as undertakings to the Court. The Suit is disposed of in accordance with the Consent Terms.

3. The Consent Terms required the transposition by Defendants No. 2 and 3 as co-Plaintiffs. Amendment to be carried out without need of reverification or fresh service on or before 15th May 2015.

4. Letters of Administration as provided for in clause (8) are to be granted as expeditiously as possible.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)