

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 81 OF 2015****IN****COMPANY APPEAL NO. 49 OF 2014****IN****CLB COMPANY PETITION NO. 177 OF 2007**

Prudence Maynard and Anr.

...Appellants / Applicants

vs.

Mundhra Container Freight Station Pvt.Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

with**COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 2015****IN****COMPANY APPEAL NO. 19 OF 2012****IN****CLB COMPANY PETITION NO. 199 OF 2009**

Prudence Maynard and Anr.

...Appellants / Applicants

vs.

Punjab Terminals Pvt.Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

with**COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2015****IN****COMPANY APPEAL NO. 18 OF 2012****IN****CLB COMPANY PETITION NO. 177 OF 2007**

Prudence Maynard and Anr.

...Appellants / Applicants

vs.

Mundhra Container Freight Station Pvt.Ltd. & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr.Adhiraj Malhotra i/b. Duttmenon Dunmorrsett for Appellants.

Mr.Rajiv Kumar with Mr.Abhishek Mishra and Amin Kherada i/b. Amin Kherada for Respondent Nos.1 to 6.

Mr.Abhishek Khare i/b. Khare Legal Chambers for Respondent No.7.

CORAM : S.C. GUPTE, J.**15 OCTOBER 2015****P.C. :**

Company Applications No.81/2015, 83/2015 and 84/2015, which are

filed in these three appeals, firstly seek discharge of Applicant No.1 as a representative of Appellant No.2 (as her guardian since Appellant No.2 was minor at the time of presentation of the appeal). Secondly, Appellant No.1 seeks granting of an option to Appellant No.2 to elect whether or not to join in the proceeding since she is now major. Whilst Appellant No.1 is discharged as guardian representing Appellant No.2, it is for Appellant No.2 to continue to prosecute these appeals in her capacity as an Appellant or choose to defend the appeals as Respondent. There is nothing that this Court needs to do presently in that behalf.

2 The Applications are accordingly disposed of. Place these appeals for hearing on 29 October 2015 high on board.

(S.C. Gupte, J.)