

vat

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL CONTEMPT PETITION (L) No. 3 OF 2014
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION No.2658 OF 2012
IN
SUIT No. 92 OF 1982

Harikishan Vedprakash Agarwal and Anr. ...Petitioners
Vs.
Burjor Joshi & Ors. ...Respondents

Ms.N. Almedia i/b. D.R. Jhuriya for Petitioners
Mr.Vachan Bodke i/b. Chitnis Vaithy & Co. for Respondents

CORAM : V. M. KANADE &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.

DATE : NOVEMBER 30, 2015

P.C. :

1. The grievance of the Petitioner is that though a statement was made by the Defendants that they would file a written statement, no written statement has been filed so far.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of all the Respondents/ Defendants submits that they do not propose to file a written statement.

3. In our view, it cannot be amount to contempt of this Court. Ultimately it upto the Defendants to decide whether they wish to file a written statement or not. Hence, no case is made out by the Petitioner for issuance of the contempt notice in the petition. Contempt petition is dismissed and disposed of.

Sd/-
[REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.]

Sd/-
[V. M. KANADE, J.]

Vaishali Tikam