

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

Hoary Realty Limited ...Petitioner
v/s.
Monitoring Committee and Ors. ...Respondents.

Mr.N.M.Ganguli , for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM: A. S. OKA &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

2. Going by the averments made in this petition, we are not satisfied that the petitioner is adversely affected by the pendency of the aforesaid applications. Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the

first respondent has kept the applications in abeyance on the ground that there is a Contempt Petition pending.

3. If the petitioner wants priority to be given to the hearing of the pending applications before the first respondent, it is for the petitioner to apply to the Monitoring Committee and to satisfy the Monitoring Committee that priority deserves to be given to the said applications. If the said applications are kept in abeyance, the petitioner can always apply for modification of the said direction of the Monitoring Committee. Hence, subject to what is observed above, no case for interference is made out in writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE,J.)

(A.S. OKA,J.)